UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

. APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/528,176	10/14/2005	Jouji Kobayashi	MM5009	6498
1109 ANDERSON	7590 09/12/2007 KILL & OLICK, P.C.		EXAMINER	
1251 AVENUI	E OF THE AMERICAS		BOEHLER, ANNE MARIE M	
NEW YORK,, NY 10020-1182			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3611	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/12/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/528,176	KOBAYASHI, JOUJI	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Anne Marie M. Boehler	3611	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE.	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D. (35 U.S.C. § 133)	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on  2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.		
Disposition of Claims			
4)  Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or			
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original transfer and the correction is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	

1. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, line 1, and claim 4, line 1, applicant claims a "bicycle", however, this is inconsistent with applicant's disclosed invention. Applicant shows and describes a tricycle (having three wheels), not a bicycle (having two wheels). Applicant may mean to recite a velocipede or pedal cycle, but not a "bicycle" as claimed.

In claim 1, lines 3-4, applicant recites turning the handle support and wheel support frame on a turning axi. However, the disclosure describes the handle support pivoting relative to the wheel support frame, not with it.

In claim 2, lines 4-5, "a somewhat upper portion of a lower portion" is unclear.

In claim 4, line 4, recitation of "said front wheels" is unclear because front wheels have not been recited earlier in the claim. Lines 7-11 of claim 4 are unclear. It appears that applicant is reciting a lower shaft engaged with a head tube of the frame and either the head tube or lower portion or both angle relative to vertical, but it is not clear.

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Throughout the specification, applicant refers to a "folding bicycle", however, bicycles, by definition has only two wheels. Applicant's invention is a pedal tricycle with two front wheels and a single rear wheel.

Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/528,176 Page 3

Art Unit: 3611

3. Claim 4 is objected to because of the following informalities: In line 8, "head tube (11)" should be –head tube (15)-. In line 9, "middle portion 10(a)" should be -middle portion 10(b)-. Appropriate correction is required.

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Burstow (USPN 248,998).

Burstow shows a pedal cycle steering system with a pair of front wheels a, a', steered by a handle L connected to handle support M, N, C. The handle support includes an upper shaft M connected to the handle and to a lower shaft C by a universal joint N. The lower shaft is engaged with a head tube D that has an inclination that extends backward (see page 1, lines 40-45).

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tiffany (USPN 5,277,267) in view of Huang (PGPub. 2003/0222424).

Art Unit: 3611

Tiffany shows a folding cycle with a handle support 28, a wheel support frame 14 and a connection mechanism 54 that allows the round tubing 14, 16 of the frame elements to turn along a longitudinal axis or be fixed against relative movement.

Tiffany lacks a folding mechanism that allows the user to turn the handle support relative to the wheel support frame about a lateral turning axis.

Huang shows a cycle with a folding handlebar 30. The folding mechanism allows the handle support to pivot relative to the wheel support frame 10.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the Tiffany cycle with a folding handle support, as taught by Huang, in order to collapse the handlebar for storage.

Regarding claim 3, Tiffany is also silent regarding a footboard on the wheel support frame. Huang shows this feature. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the Tiffany cycle with a footboard, as taught by Huang, in order to provide a stable platform for the rider to place his feet.

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Phillips shows a pedal cycle with a frame pivotable about a longitudinal axis and a steering mechanism incorporating a universal joint.

lavarone shows a frame with round tubing elements and a removable connection element 40.

Wiener, Meneses, Hayashi, Latta, and Kirch show tricycles with two front wheels.

Marble shows a bicycle with a universal joint in the steering support.

Art Unit: 3611

Chen shows a scooter that converts into a pull cart.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anne Marie M. Boehler whose telephone number is 571-272-6641. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5:00, Monday-Thursday, and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lesley Morris can be reached on 571-272-6612. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Anne Marie M Boehler Primary Examiner

9/7/89

Art Unit 3611

amb